SEYCCAT AT MID-POINT
TWENTY22 STRATEGY REVIEW

How effective has SeyCCAT been in achieving the objectives of the Twenty22 strategy so far?

How efficient are SeyCCAT’s systems and processes for delivery of the Twenty22 strategy?

How coherent has SeyCCAT’s approach to strategy been in the national and international context?

How effective has SeyCCAT been in achieving the objectives of the Twenty22 strategy so far?

45
BGF projects funded under rounds 1 – 4

$1,880,752
Disbursed in total

$2,706,030
Co-financing leveraged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUND</th>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>FUNDS DISBURSED (SCR)</th>
<th>FUNDS DISBURSED (USD)</th>
<th>PROJECTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BGF#1</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>3,831,790</td>
<td>283,836</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGF#2</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>4,853,438</td>
<td>359,514</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGF#3</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>11,014,657</td>
<td>815,900</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGF#4</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>5,690,265</td>
<td>421,501</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>25,390,149</td>
<td>1,880,752</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$ RAISED
for projects of national significance:

$609K
(Pew Trusts)
Blue Carbon and NDCs

$4.7M
(Oceans5, Blue Nature Alliance, The Nature Conservancy)
Enabling MSP implementation
How **efficient** are SeyCCAT’s systems and processes for delivery of the Twenty22 strategy?

**EXISTING EFFICIENCIES:**

- **BGF:** Robust application process, consistently delivered by a small team
- **BGF:** Clear accountability & due diligence, consistently applied
- Continual capacity development of grantees, capitalizing on resource availability
- Efficient use of the BGF committee - eligible activities, proposal review
- **SeyCCAT** staff’ knowledge and expertise: Portfolio delivery, partnership and stakeholder relationship development
- Strategic growth of the **SeyCCAT** team

**WHAT NEEDS ATTENTION:**

- **BGF:** clearer communication of eligible activities & extension to grant window
- **BGF:** A portfolio of project ideas, responsive to SeyCCAT’s Strategic Objectives
- **BGF:** Eligibility of project costs for training and development
- Access to greater technical capacity for BGF committee and **SeyCCAT** team
- Reduced role of BGF committee in budget line changes

How **coherent** has SeyCCAT’s approach to strategy been in the national and international context?

- Effective at avoiding duplication by working closely with partners and peer organisations
- In the context of COVID-19, SeyCCAT’s funding base and independence has provided stability and allowed it to continue implementing its mandate.
- Expansion into project management work creates a risk of being seen as a competitor to national NGOs or duplicate to bodies like PCU and BIOFIN

**PARTNERSHIPS LEVERAGED THROUGH THE BGF:**

- 18 National businesses
- 8 Overseas universities by UniSey
- 8 National NGOs
- 4 International NGOs
- 4 Parastatal agencies
- 4 Government ministries